Discuss whether you believe that the use of loot boxes purchasable by microtransactions is ethical.

Kantianism

Kantianism states that if something is moral it must be expressed as a universal law while still being logical. So what would the universal law be for loot boxes, the basic one would be all loot boxes in game that are purchased using real money is ethical. What would this lead to? Since we assume everyone acts ethically in this scenario we could assume that all game that could have loot boxes will have loot boxes. What would be those consequences?

Admittedly those consequences are very hard to imagine. It is not a simple action and thus it is not immediately obvious what the consequences would be. One consequence that is easier to determine is that since all games that can possibly have loot boxes do and loot boxes are a something that can be put in lots of different game; people that do not like loot boxes would find it very difficult to find games to play that don’t have them. Other consequences would be more speculative in nature because these things are hard to predict.

One thing that could happen is lots of games could rely on the income from these loot boxes. This could lead to more games being developed to support the implementation of loot boxes instead of different ways to fund games. Which would cause a cycle of more money being made from loot boxes and less game not having them, leaving players that don’t enjoy loot boxes having nothing to play.

Talk about the logic of the universal statement loot boxes should be used in games.

If it is morally OK to have loot boxes in game we assume all games will have paid loot boxes in them where possible. What would this cause?

That most games would be funded through the purchasing of loot boxes. Or at least some of their funding would be from this source.

Utilitarianism

As usual how should we calculate happiness or utility when considering the ethic of rule utilitarianism is the most difficult part. Because loot boxes generate a lot of money “quote about how much the make” now does this money increase the utility by enough to off set the harm they do?

It was said that “About 48% of the participants who bought loot boxes said that loot boxes increased their gaming experience, while 18% said loot boxes decreased their experience” . ( <https://www.greo.ca/Modules/EvidenceCentre/files/Li%20et%20al%20(2019)%20The%20relationship%20of%20loot%20box%20purchases_Final.pdf> )This would suggest that loot boxes increase the overall happiness of the people that use them. But of course this is not the whole story. “In one survey that allowed for open-ended answers, only 16% of purchasers reported opening loot boxes for the fun, excitement and thrills of opening the box itself”( <https://reason.org/wp-content/uploads/video-game-loot-boxes-moral-panic.pdf> ) All the rest of the people that bought loot boxes could have had fun without the loot boxes part, if the rewards were distributed differently even if they were paid rewards.

So currently loot boxes add utils to about 16% of people and loose utils on 18% how much of each is impossible for me to say, but overall they don’t seems to add or hurt much. So lets look from another perspective. Money the nice tangible thing that we can evaluate. A lot of people spend money on loot boxes. The question is does this money changing hands help or hurt? Well, it funds people making games and games give a lot of happiness to a lot of people so I would argue that if it helps make more games I think it adds utils to society.

To contradict my previous sentence I think loot boxes don’t help fund games, games have been making money for as long as people have been making them, and game developers have not been making more money since the introduction of loot boxes. Game companies might be but the

Calculations, try to find happiness of people that play game with loot boxes.

There has been some quantitative work to date to investigate more complex motivations

for loot box engagement. In one survey that allowed for open-ended answers, only 16% of

purchasers reported opening loot boxes for “the fun, excitement and thrills of opening the

box itself.”39 In the study, this was the category used to describe motivations connected to

gambling or addiction, as for example in one player’s confession that opening loot boxes

“scratches my gambling itch.” However, even combined with the answers that were not

related to gambling as such, this category of motivations was only the third most common

one in this sample. Out of the other seven motivation categories reported, most involved

personal or practical reasons like wanting to gain competitive advantages from loot (21.9%)

or wanting to collect all of the prizes (19.2%). Ranked fourth were “cosmetic reasons,” at

15.3%. These results are exactly what we should expect given that these goals can often

only be accomplished by finding unique loot in a loot box. At the same time, 10.7% of

respondents reported buying loot boxes as a way to support the developers of free-to-play
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games.40 And around 9.8% believed loot box rewards provided good value for their money.41

These results cut against the idea that gambling-like features of loot boxes are consciously

the main reason for their popularity. In fact, similar studies suggest that problem gamblers

are bigger spenders on a variety of content, not necessarily on loot boxes specifically.42
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